

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,143	09/24/2003	Michiel Peter Oderwald	Vereenigde P59US	9163
7590 05/12/2006			EXAMINER	
VARNUM, RIDDERING, SCHMIDT & HOWLETT LLP			KOVACS, ARPAD F	
Bridgewater Place Post Office Box 352			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/670,143	ODERWALD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ag This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Egyptimes. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accer	wn from consideration. on and/or election requirement.	· Fyaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/670,143

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Independent apparatus claims 1, drawn to a counter rotating mutually parallel rotary shafts, classified in class 100, subclass 1.
 - II. Independent method claim 13, drawn to a method for bunching plants stems, no clear methods steps are claimed; it appears that "wherein ... stems carried along" & "characterized ... band is tensioned" & "ends of the fingers are brought towards each other" & "stems ... are moved away" are set forth as method steps or method of using, classified in class 53, subclass 399.
 - III. Independent apparatus claims 15, 16, drawn to an opening and closing mechanism (noted: cl. 16: only functionally sets forth a closing mechanism during the tying process/function), classified in class 53, subclass 556.
 - IV. Independent method claim 18, drawn to a method for bunching plant stems, two method steps were identified: "transporting the plant stems" & "receiving the plant stems," while "plant stems being transported" is unclear if a description of how the receiving step is being performed or a method of using the fingers is claimed, classified in class 100, subclass 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,143

Art Unit: 3671

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Inventions method claims 13 & 18 and apparatus claims 1, 15, 16 are related as

process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be

shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be

used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In

this case the method (claims 13 & 18) can be practiced by another and materially

different apparatus as follows:

In re method claim 13:

claim 1, drawn to a counter rotating mutually parallel rotary shafts,

claim 15-16, an opening and closing mechanism, (noted: cl. 16: only

functionally sets forth a closing mechanism during the tying

process/function).

In re method claim 18:

claim 1, a counter rotating mutually parallel rotary shafts,

claim 15-16, an opening and closing mechanism, a mechanical gripper (noted:

cl. 16: only functionally sets forth a closing mechanism during the tying

process/function).

Inventions apparatus claims 1 & 15-16 and method claims 13 & 18 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions

The apparatus claim 1 distinct/differ from claims 15, 16 as follows:

- cl. 1: a counter rotating mutually parallel rotary shafts
- cl. 15-16: an opening and closing mechanism (noted: cl. 16: only functionally sets forth a closing mechanism during the tying process/function)

Therefore, Applicant is required to elect between Group I (claim 1), Group II (method claim 13), Group III (claim 15, 16), Group IV (claim 18).

Method claims are distinct from each other as outlined above.

Page 5

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply
does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction
requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

Art Unit: 3671

one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK